Pupil premium strategy statement

| 1. Summary information | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|--|----------|--|--|
| School | Hillside High School | | | | | | |
| Academic Year | 2018/2019 | Total PP budget | £ 351,560 | Date of most recent PP Review | Sep 2018 | | |
| Total number of pupils | 675 | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 376 | Date for next internal review of this strategy | Jan 2019 | | |

| 2. Current attainment | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------|----------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | e for PP (your | Pupils not eligible for PP | | |
| | | sch | ool) | (national average) | | |
| | | 2018 | 2017 | | | |
| Progress 8 Score | | -0.82 | -1.2 | 0.1 | | |
| Attainment 8 Score | | 36.8 | 29 | 49 | | |
| English | and Maths Combined 4+ | 43 | 33 | 73 | | |
| English | and Maths Combined 5+ | 27 | 21 | 57 | | |
| 3. Ba | rriers to future attainment and progress (for pupils eligible for PP) | | | | | |
| In-sch | ool barriers | | | | | |
| A. | - Attendance and Punctuality (PP have significantly lower attendance than non-PP) | | | | | |
| В. | - Attitude to learning and Behaviour for learning – aspirations. | | | | | |
| C. | - Disadvantaged pupil group – poor literacy and numeracy levels. | | | | | |

| Ex | External barriers | | | | | | |
|------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| D. | Extreme poverty in the local area – lack of opportunities and aspirations. | | | | | | |
| E. | E. Low expectations and lack of parental engagement in supporting pupils at home. | | | | | | |
| 4. 1 | 4. Desired outcomes Success criteria | | | | | | |
| A. | Achievement Gap is rapidly diminished between PP and National other | (See post ofsted action statement) P8 Score 2019 of -0.6; 2020 – 0.2. | | | | | |
| В. | Attendance of PP pupils to be in line with national average. | Improved Attendance to at least National Average (94.8%) | | | | | |
| C. | Improvement in the AtL and BfL of PP cohort. | Reduction of comparative referral rate. Reduction of FTE. | | | | | |

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2018/2019

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

i. Quality of teaching for all

| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|--|---|--|---|------------|---|
| Pupil premium children's experiences and interventions are tracked more succinctly and impact is better measured for individual pupil premium spends | Investigate different tracking systems that will enable school to track pupil premium spend, attainment, achievement and progress for all pupils. | Improved evidence base of impact of PP spend. | Review impact of actions at each P2S cycle – Link Governor. | ME | P2S Cycles Cost TBC |
| Outcomes for pupil premium students are high and managed better by teachers on a day to day basis in | Launch new Teaching Learning Development programme with staff. | Quality First Teaching is the key to improving outcomes. Teaching and Learning Development programme based upon Leverage Leadership model. | Review impact of programme – using full range of evaluation – data, book looks, learning walks, health checks, pupil voice. | LMP | P2S Cycle – Subject Review meetings. Report to Standards Committee and Governors |

| classrooms. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--------|---|--|--|
| ii. Targeted support | | | | | | | |
| Outcomes for pupil premium students in maths are high. There are no gaps in student's attainment and learning and progress is in line with non-pupil premium students. | Deploy a pre- teach model of interventions for maths with a consolidation session at the end of each day. | Evidence from use of this in Primary setting shows very positive outcomes. | Monitor the impact through summative assessments, pupil voice, pupil books, staff feedback | SWA | P2S Cycles Report to Standards committee. | | |
| Outcomes in Maths for PP pupils improve rapidly. | Additional staffing Mathematics department to allow more intervention and where possible smaller teaching groups. | One to one intervention and in class support – evidence from EEF of positive impact. | Review impact – using full range of evaluation – data, book looks, learning walks, health checks, pupil voice. Data at P2S rounds demonstrates narrowing gaps and improving picture for PP pupils. | RP | P2S rounds Subject Reviews Report to Standards Committee Cost: £40,000 | | |
| Outcomes across the curriculum demonstrate | Additional Teaching | Evidence from EEF that TA support when targetted towards specific areas such | Progress data for pupils receiving support | MP, LC | Subject Review Report to Standards | | |

| rapid improvement for | Assistants | as reading comprehension has a positive impact on | demonstrates rapid | | Committee. |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|----------------------|
| PP pupils. | deployed to support PP | outcomes | improvement at each P2S round. | | Cost:£ 66952 |
| | pupils across the | | | | |
| | curriculum. | | | | 2TAs |
| | Including | | | | AV/ICT and Science |
| | targeted reading | | | | Tech support |
| | and literacy | | | | |
| | support. | | | | |
| | Additional | | | | |
| | Technical | | | | |
| | support in | | | | |
| | Science and | | | | |
| | AV/ICT to | | | | |
| | support work of | | | | |
| | pupils. | | | | |
| Maintain a | Progress | EEF evidence shows positive | Daily meeting to discuss | NCR | Year Group Review at |
| sharper focus | Leaders for each | impact of : | needs of individuals. | | each P2S |
| with regards to | year group – | Parental engagement | Review of progress at | | Standards Committee |
| monitoring and supporting the | Barriers to | Behavioural Interventions Social and Emotional | each P2S round. | | |
| academic and | learning | Learning | Case studies developed to | | Cost £ 73019 |
| pastoral progress | identified and | | demonstrate impact on | | (50% of salary) |
| of all pupils | shared. Reviews | | individuals. | | |
| | to place to | | | | |
| | discuss | | | | |

| | performance of year groups agree ways forward. Day to day pastoral management essential in supporting PP pupils. | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|-----|--|
| Remove barriers to progress for vulnerable students as a result of behavioural and/or emotional support given to them and their families | Home Liaison and Safeguarding team to facilitate this. | EEF demonstrates positive impact of: Parental engagement Behavioural Interventions Social and Emotional Learning | Daily pastoral meetings Case studies developed | NCR | Report to Standards Committee. Cost: £38,666 (inc Pastoral Sec) |
| Improve the progress of the alternative provision cohort, all of whom are entitled to the pupil premium fund, | Small number of pupils in the Alternative Provision to have access to suitable | EEF: Behavioural Interventions Social and Emotional Learning | Regular meetings regarding progress of pupils. Case Studies developed. Progress data reviewed in P2S Cycle. | TS | Report to Standards Committee. Cost: £30,650 |

| | curriculum and specialist teaching | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-----|--|
| Improve behaviour and exclusion records. Support pupils who may be at risk of exclusion or need intensive help and support to maintain their place in mainstream education. | Inclusion manager to ensure timely and specific behavioural interventions are used to support the graduated response. | EEF: Behavioural Interventions Social and Emotional Learning | Pastoral meetings Year Group Reviews Case Studies Behavioural data | NCR | Report to standards committee. Weekly report to Leadership Team meeting. Cost: £28,331 |
| Increase participation in school music/uptake at GCSE music | Provide external music tutor to support the PP pupils through their music exams. | EEF: Arts Participation | High Participation and take up rates by PP pupils. Results from ABRSM. | NR | Subject Reviews at P2S Cost:£20,000 |
| Improve attendance of pupils and reduce number at risk of PA | EWO and Attendance Officer to target pupils and their parents using | Clear link between attendance and attainment. | Attendance and PA for these pupils improves. Attendance Records – weekly reporting. | LC | Attendance meetings – weekly. Leadership team meetings – weekly. Report to Standards |

| | range of strategies. | | | | Committee. Cost: £38,873 |
|---|--|--|---|-----|---|
| Improve behaviour, self- esteem, attendance, participation and academic performance. Mental health support for target groups of pupils. | Use of Educational Psychologist and Counsellor to support pupils with specific needs. | EEF: Behavioural Interventions Social and Emotional Learning | Develop Case studies to demonstrate impact. | NCR | Pastoral Meetings Review meetings Cost:£ £6,650 |
| Increase number of disadvantaged pupils in sustained education or employment/training. | Extended use of Careers Advisor to ensure PP pupils receive additional support. | Aspiration of pupils are explored. | Records of meetings Pupils referred to MB following year group reviews. | AJ | Leadership Meetings Standard Committee Cost:£3,250 |
| Ensure all pupils have the same access to curriculum and chances as others. | Subject and Pastoral leaders can 'bid' for additional finance to support | | Records of individual spends maintained and impact monitored. | ME | Leadership Meetings Standards Committee. Cost: £5,000 |

| | individual needs. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | l | | | | | |
| iii. Other strategies | | | | | | | | |
| Evidence base of | Case studies | Accurate case studies will | Review case studies at | NCR | Each P2S | | | |
| interventions at a pastoral level is underpinned by | show a depth of recording about pupils. Case | allow evaluation of efficacy of spend and inform future planning. | each P2S cycle – at Year Group Progress Reviews | | Report to Standards Committee | | | |
| accurate case studies. | studies are realistic, rich in information and contextually accurate. | | | | | | | |
| Governors to examine and challenge when appropriate on impact statements in HT reports on PP children attainment and progress. | Leadership of Pupil Premium | Demonstration of clear progress from prior attainment for PP children | Governor minutes, action logs. Pupil premium challenge questions identified through governor minutes | ME, Link Governor RB | Standards Committee Governors Meetings | | | |
| Governors | Leadership of | PP progress reported per | Governor minutes, action | ME, Link | Standards Committee | | | |

| ensure good | Pupil Premium | term in HT report | logs. Pupil premium | Governor | Governors Meetings |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------------|
| robust | | demonstrating impact in | challenge questions | RB | |
| management of | | attainment and progress. | identified through | | |
| all teaching staff | | PM target for teaching staff | governor minutes | | |
| including | | linked to PP pupils in pupil | | | |
| discussions about | | outcomes target. | | | |
| all PP children's | | | | | |
| performance. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total budgeted cost | | | | | £351,560 |